

Chapter 2

The Binomial Model

Let S be some tradable asset with prices

$$S_k = S(t_k), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

and let

$$H = H(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_N) \quad (2.2)$$

be some option payoff with start date t_0 and end date or maturity t_N . We want to replicate the option payoff (2.2) with a suitable trading strategy in the underlying S . For notational simplicity let us assume first that we have zero interest rates $r = 0$. From the last chapter we know that a trading strategy holding δ_k assets at the end of day t_k generates the amount

$$V_N = V_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{j-1} (S_j - S_{j-1}) \quad (2.3)$$

Each δ_k will be determined on the end of trading day t_k . On such a day, the asset prices S_0, S_1, \dots, S_k are known, but the asset prices $S_{k+1}, S_{k+2}, \dots, S_N$ are not known yet, they are lying in the future. Thus, δ_k can be a function only of the known prices S_0, \dots, S_k ,

$$\delta_k = \delta_k(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{k-1}, S_k) \quad (2.4)$$

Definition 2.1: We say that an option payoff (2.2) can be replicated by a suitable trading strategy in the underlying if and only if there are choices of δ_k of the form (2.4) and some initial amount V_0 such that (in case of zero interest rates)

$$H(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_N) = V_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{j-1} (S_j - S_{j-1}) \quad (2.5)$$

The initial amount V_0 which is needed to set up the replicating strategy is called the theoretical fair value of H .

Now let us consider the question to what extent replication of options is possible. Equation (2.5) can be rewritten as

$$H(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_N) = V_{N-1} + \delta_{N-1}(S_N - S_{N-1})$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} H(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_N) - \delta_{N-1} S_N &= V_{N-1} - \delta_{N-1} S_{N-1} \\ &= \text{some function of } S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

That is, the right hand side of (2.6) is independent of S_N . Let us introduce the return of the asset S from t_{k-1} to t_k ,

$$\text{ret}_k := \frac{S_k - S_{k-1}}{S_{k-1}} = \frac{S_k}{S_{k-1}} - 1 \quad (2.7)$$

such that

$$S_k = S_{k-1}(1 + \text{ret}_k) \quad (2.8)$$

Then equation (2.6) can be rewritten as

$$H(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_N)) - \delta_{N-1} S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_N) = \text{const} \quad (2.9)$$

where the const in the equation above means that the left hand side of (2.9) has to be the same for all possible choices of ret_N . Since there is only 1 free parameter in (2.9), namely δ_{N-1} , we can only allow for 2 possible choices for ret_N , say,

$$\text{ret}_N \in \{\text{ret}_{\text{up}}, \text{ret}_{\text{down}}\} \quad (2.10)$$

and in that case we have to have

$$\begin{aligned} H(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}})) - \delta_{N-1} S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) &= \\ H(S_0, S_1, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}})) - \delta_{N-1} S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}}) & \end{aligned}$$

which determines δ_{N-1} to

$$\delta_{N-1} = \frac{H(S_0, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}})) - H(S_0, \dots, S_{N-1}, S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}}))}{S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - S_{N-1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}})} \quad (2.11)$$

Thus, if we allow for 2 possible choices of returns only as in (2.10), replication of option payoffs seem to be possible. This leads to the following

Definition 2.2: If the price process $S_k = S(t_k)$ of some tradable asset S has the dynamics

$$S_k = S_{k-1}(1 + \text{ret}_k) \quad \text{with} \quad \text{ret}_k \in \{\text{ret}_{\text{up}}, \text{ret}_{\text{down}}\} \quad \forall k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.12)$$

then we say that S is given by the Binomial model.

Remark: Observe that in Definition 2.2 we have not introduced any probabilities for an up- or down-move, that is, we have not specified a probability p_{up} such that an up-return ret_{up} will occur and a probability $p_{\text{down}} = 1 - p_{\text{up}}$ for the occurrence of a down-return. We did that because the replicating strategy and the theoretical option fair value V_0 are actually independent of such probabilities. Nevertheless we have to remark that the definition of the Binomial model as given in the standard literature usually includes a specification of p_{up} and $p_{\text{down}} = 1 - p_{\text{up}}$.

Now we are in a position to formulate the following important

Theorem 2.1: Let S be some tradable asset whose price process is given by the Binomial model (2.12). Let $r \geq 0$ denote some constant interest rate. Then every option payoff $H = H(S_0, \dots, S_N)$ can be replicated. A replicating strategy is given by, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$:

$$\delta_k = \frac{V_{k+1}(S_0, \dots, S_k, S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}})) - V_{k+1}(S_0, \dots, S_k, S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}}))}{S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}})} \quad (2.13)$$

and the portfolio values V_k , including the theoretical fair value V_0 , can be inductively calculated through the formulae

$$V_k = \frac{(1 - d_{k,k+1} - d_{k,k+1}\text{ret}_{\text{down}})V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} - (1 - d_{k,k+1} - d_{k,k+1}\text{ret}_{\text{up}})V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}}{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}} \quad (2.14)$$

$$V_N = H$$

where we used the abbreviations

$$V_{k+1}^{\text{up,down}} := V_{k+1}(S_0, \dots, S_k, S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up,down}})) \quad (2.15)$$

and introduced the discount factor

$$d_{k,k+1} := e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)} \quad (2.16)$$

Proof: For nonzero interest rates we have

$$v_N = v_0 + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{j-1}(s_j - s_{j-1})$$

which is equivalent to

$$v_{k+1} = v_k + \delta_k(s_{k+1} - s_k) \quad \forall k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$

or, since $v_k = e^{-r(t_k - t_0)}V_k$,

$$e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}V_{k+1} = V_k + \delta_k(e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}S_k(1 + \text{ret}_k) - S_k)$$

Since we assume the price dynamics of the Binomial model, this equation is equivalent to

$$e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} = V_k + \delta_k(e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - S_k) \quad (2.17)$$

$$e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}V_{k+1}^{\text{down}} = V_k + \delta_k(e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}}) - S_k) \quad (2.18)$$

Subtracting (2.18) from (2.17) gives

$$e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}(V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} - V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}) = \delta_k(e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - e^{-r(t_{k+1} - t_k)}S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}}))$$

or

$$\delta_k = \frac{V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} - V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}}{S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - S_k(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}})} \quad (2.19)$$

which coincides with (2.13). Substituting this value of δ_k in equation (2.17) and solving for V_k gives (now using the abbreviation (2.16) for the discount factors)

$$d_{k,k+1}V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} - \delta_k S_k(d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - 1) = V_k \quad \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_k &= d_{k,k+1}V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} - \frac{V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} - V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}}{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}}(d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - 1) \\ &= \frac{d_{k,k+1}V_{k+1}^{\text{up}}(\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}) - V_{k+1}^{\text{up}}(d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - 1) + V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}(d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - 1)}{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}} \\ &= \frac{-V_{k+1}^{\text{up}}(d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}}) - 1) + V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}(d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}) - 1)}{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}} \\ &= \frac{V_{k+1}^{\text{up}}(1 - d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{down}})) - V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}(1 - d_{k,k+1}(1 + \text{ret}_{\text{up}}))}{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}} \end{aligned}$$

and this coincides with (2.14). ■

Remarks: 1) If H is some (then usually called “european”) option which depends only on the underlying price at maturity,

$$H = H(S_N) \quad (2.20)$$

then the δ_k and the value of the replicating portfolio V_k at t_k depend only on the asset price S_k and do not depend on earlier prices $S_{k-1}, S_{k-2}, \dots, S_0$. That is,

$$V_k = V_k(S_k) \quad (2.21)$$

$$\delta_k = \delta_k(S_k) \quad (2.22)$$

2) Assume zero interest rates such that $d_{k,k+1} = 1$. Then (2.14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} V_k &= \frac{-\text{ret}_{\text{down}} V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} + \text{ret}_{\text{up}} V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}}{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}} \\ &= \frac{V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} + V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}}{2} - \frac{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} + \text{ret}_{\text{down}}}{2} \frac{V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} - V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}}{\text{ret}_{\text{up}} - \text{ret}_{\text{down}}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

If we further assume a “symmetric” Binomial model with $\text{ret}_{\text{up}} = q\%$ and $\text{ret}_{\text{down}} = -q\%$ we obtain the simple recursion formula

$$V_k = \frac{V_{k+1}^{\text{up}} + V_{k+1}^{\text{down}}}{2} \quad (2.24)$$