

Chapter 17

The Feynman-Kac Formula

The Feynman-Kac formula states that a probabilistic expectation value with respect to some Ito-diffusion can be obtained as a solution of an associated PDE. It may be formulated as follows:

Let $X_t = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)$ be a stochastic process which is a solution of the system of stochastic differential equations

$$dX_t^i = \mu_i(t, X_t) dt + \sigma_i(t, X_t) dB_t^i \quad (17.1)$$

where B_t^1, \dots, B_t^d are Brownian motions with correlation

$$dB_t^i dB_t^j = \rho_{ij} dt \quad (17.2)$$

Let $H(x) = H(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ be some payoff. Then the function

$$u(t, x) := \mathbf{E}[H(X_T) | X_t = x] \quad (17.3)$$

is a solution of the PDE

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i(t, x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \rho_{ij} \sigma_i(t, x) \sigma_j(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0 \quad (17.4)$$

with final condition

$$u(T, x) = H(x) \quad (17.5)$$

We prove a slightly more general result which allows also the interest rates to depend on X_t . We follow the exposition of [10]. The proof is based on the following

Proposition 17.1: Let $X_t = (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)$ be an Ito-diffusion given by the SDE

$$dX_t = \mu(t, X_t) dt + \sigma(t, X_t) dB_t \quad (17.6)$$

where μ takes values in \mathbb{R}^d , σ takes values in $\mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ and B_t is an m -dimensional (uncorrelated) Brownian motion. Let A be the generator of (17.6), given by ($f = f(t, x) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$)

$$(Af)(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \mu_i(t, x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\sigma \sigma^T)_{i,j}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad (17.7)$$

Let $u \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $r \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, then the process

$$M_t := e^{-\int_0^t r(s, X_s) ds} u(t, X_t) - \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au - ru \right) (s, X_s) ds \quad (17.8)$$

is a martingale.

Proof: The statement follows by applying the Ito lemma to the function

$$F_t := e^{-\int_0^t r(s, X_s) ds} u(t, X_t) \quad (17.9)$$

Namely, since the covariation $\langle e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv}, u(s, X_s) \rangle = 0$ because $e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv}$ is differentiable and therefore of bounded variation,

$$\begin{aligned} F_t &= F_0 + \int_0^t \left(d(e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv}) u(s, X_s) + e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} du(s, X_s) + 0 \right) \\ &= u(0, X_0) + \int_0^t \left(e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} u(s, X_s) (-r(s, X_s)) ds + e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} ds \right) + \\ &\quad \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} dX_s^i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} dX_s^i dX_s^j \right) \\ &= u(0, X_0) + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} \left\{ -ru + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \mu_i(s, X_s) ds + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \sum_k \sigma_{i,k}(s, X_s) dB_s^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \sum_{k,l} \sigma_{i,k} \sigma_{j,l} \underbrace{dB_s^k dB_s^l}_{=\delta_{k,l} ds} \right\} \\ &= u(0, X_0) + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} \left\{ -ru + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au \right\} ds + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} \nabla u \cdot \sigma \cdot dB_s \end{aligned} \quad (17.10)$$

Since the process $u(0, X_0) + \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s r(v, X_v) dv} \nabla u \cdot \sigma \cdot dB_s$ is a martingale, the proposition follows ■

Theorem 17.2 (Feynman-Kac Formula): Let X_t be an Ito-diffusion given by (17.6) with generator A given by (17.7) with initial condition $X_t^{t,x} = x$. Let $u \in C^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfy

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au - ru = 0 \quad (17.11)$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$ with final condition

$$u(T, x) = f(x) \quad (17.12)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s, X_s) ds} f(X_T) \mid X_t = x \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} f(X_T^{t,x}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (17.13)$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$.

Proof: By proposition 15.1, the process $(M_{t'})_{t \leq t' \leq T}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} M_{t'} &= e^{-\int_t^{t'} r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} u(t', X_{t'}^{t,x}) - \int_t^{t'} e^{-\int_t^s r(v, X_v^{t,x}) dv} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au - ru \right) (s, X_s^{t,x}) ds \\ &\stackrel{(17.11)}{=} e^{-\int_t^{t'} r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} u(t', X_{t'}^{t,x}) \end{aligned} \quad (17.14)$$

is a martingale. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) &= M_t \\ &= \mathbb{E}[M_t] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[M_T] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} u(T, X_T^{t,x}) \right] \\ &\stackrel{(17.12)}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} f(X_T^{t,x}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (17.15)$$

This proves the theorem ■

Remark: By rewriting $M_{t'}$ in (17.14) as

$$\begin{aligned} M_{t'} &= e^{-\int_t^{t'} r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} u(t', X_{t'}^{t,x}) - \int_t^{t'} e^{-\int_t^s r(v, X_v^{t,x}) dv} g(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds \\ &\quad - \int_t^{t'} e^{-\int_t^s r(v, X_v^{t,x}) dv} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + Au - ru - g \right) (s, X_s^{t,x}) ds \end{aligned} \quad (17.16)$$

where g is some function, the same reasoning as above shows that

$$v(t, x) := \mathbb{E} \left[e^{-\int_t^T r(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds} f(X_T^{t,x}) - \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^s r(v, X_v^{t,x}) dv} g(s, X_s^{t,x}) ds \right] \quad (17.17)$$

is obtained as a solution of the PDE

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + Av - rv = g \quad (17.18)$$

with final condition

$$v(T, x) = f(x) \quad (17.19)$$